

AMW

19-4335

Notice to Clerk:

Please file this supplementary brief of Appellant. Due to limited condition, this is the best I can do at this time. I am also dealing with some eyes issues that I am working on clearing up.  
Thank You!

Exal: Ronald Allen Wright-Bay

Record no: 19-4335

The  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fourth Circuit

United States of America  
Plaintiff- Appellee

V.

Ronald Allen Wright  
Defendant- Appellant

On Appeal From The United States District Court  
For The District of South Carolina  
At Columbia

Supplementary Brief of Appellant

Encl: Ronald Allen Wright, Day  
Federal Correctional Institution  
# 33162-171  
P.O. Box 1,000  
White Deer PA, 17887

Special Deposit Trust Res

## Table of Content

Page

Table of Authority ----- II

Statement of Subject Matter and Appellate Jurisdiction ----- 1

Page

Statement of Issues ----- 2

Statement of the Case ----- 3

Summary of Argument ----- 4

## Argument

I. The district court erred when it denied my claim that I am an American. ----- 5

II. The district court erred when it denied my claim as being protected by the Treaty of 1786, which is renewed every 50 years. ----- 5

III. The district court erred when it denied my claim to the holding of the Dred Scott decision of the United States Supreme Court. ----- 6

Conclusion ----- 7  
Certification of Filing and Service ----- 7

District Court

## Table of Authority

Page

## Case Laws

Kitchens v Steele 112 F. Supp 383	3, 5
U.S. v Anthony 24 Fed. 829 (1873)	3, 5
Dred Scott decision by U.S. Supreme Court	4, 6
LebNA Code 100	5
Universal Declaration of Human Right	5
Treaty of 1786	6

# Statement of Subject Matter And Appellate Jurisdiction

I hereby incorporated pages 1 thru 6 of the Appellant Brief, as proof that the Appellate gave established grounds of personal jurisdiction over the person RONALD ALLEN WRIGHT. The only is when there is no personal personal jurisdiction, there is no subject matter to be heard. The case should have been dismissed right away. The Appellate never had personal jurisdiction, which automatically means that there was no subject matter for the court to hear.

Robert Ross

## Statement of Issues

Was the district Court in error when it denied my claim I Am An American?

Was the district court in error when it denied my claim as being protected by the Treaty of 1786, which is renewed every 50 years?

Was the district court in error when it denied my claim to the holding of the Dred Scott decision of the United States Supreme Court?

Trust  
Rae

## Statement of the Case

I hereby incorporate pages 6 thru 25 of the Appellant Brief as proof that the statements of the case never state that I jumped on or harmed anyone such as a man or woman, (no bodily harm), nor did it state that I took any property or damaged any property of any man or woman, with the only penalty of interaction with U.S. citizens which are fictitious, a class of citizens created by Congress. See U.S. v. Anthony 24 Fed 829 (1873)

"The term resident and citizen of the United States is distinguished from a citizen of one of the several states, in that the former is a special class of citizen created by Congress"

Kitchin v. Steele, 112 F. Supp 383 "A citizen of the United States is a citizen of the Federal government"

For it is the claim that I am a Moroccan-American National and I am not a U.S. citizen.

## Summary of Arguments

The district court erred when it denied my claim that I am an American.

The district court erred when it denied my claim as being protected by The Treaty of 1786, which is renewed every 50 years.

The district court erred when it denied my claim to the holding of the Dred Scott decision of the United States Supreme Court.

Original Date



## Argument

I. The district court erred when it denied my claim that I Am An American.

After the return of the jury, I asked the court appointed attorney to motion the court to dismiss the jury, because there was not a jury of my peers. For I am an American. This was a direct subversion of the central of the United States district court, for they are doing commercial business under the Department of Defense. See *Kitchens v Steele* 112 F. Supp 383 And *U.S. v. Anthony* 24 Fed. 829 (1873)

II. The district court erred when it denied my claim AS being protected by the Treaty of 1786, which is renewed every 50 years.

The district court erred when it denied my claim as being protected by the Treaty of 1786. I then several filings into this case in which I made it known that I was protected by the Treaty of 1786 in the court records. This information was also filed prior to the verdict of the jury, and other stages of the case thereafter. This was an act of breach of trust, due to the fact that Article 11 was violated, in which the American vessel had no place being in the district court well. This also was an violation of the Cuban Code 100, which no citizen (AMERICAN) is under the occupation of the military but instead American citizens should be protected.

Trust Res

III. The district court erred when it denied my claim to the holding of the Dred Scott decision of the United States Supreme Court.

The district court erred when it denied my claim to the holding of the Dred Scott decision of the United States Supreme Court, the holding of this case law was "No African descendant or Aborigine could ever claim U.S. citizen." As an American National (more properly an Ancestral Matriarch American National), I would be considered an aborigine. This would have been also a process of denying my human right under Article 15 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Trust Plus

## Conclusion

In accordance with the requirements of the decision of the

United States Supreme Court in *Anders*, 447 U.S. 481 (1980),

Wright-Bey has reviewed the facts and legal issues that were established

in the Appellant Brief.

It is my opinion that this case should be executed and

dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction and no subject matter

then an antiterror release is mandated.

Exel: Ronald-Allen; Wright-Bey

P.O. Box 1,000

White Deer PA 17887

Forest Ave

## Certification of Filing And Service

I certify that on Dec 30, 2019, I caused this Supplemental Brief of Appellant to be filed with the clerk of the Court by way of the United States Post Office by Special Deposit into a U.S. Postal mail pick-up Box. This document to be sent to the following:

Tommy D. Pearson  
Office of the U.S. Attorney  
1441 Main Street, Suite 500  
Columbia SC, 29201

Exels: Ronald Allen; Wrights Bey

Federal Correctional Institution  
# 33162-171  
Ronald-Allen Wright, Boy  
P.O. Box 1,000  
White Deer PA-17887

↔33162-171↔  
Courthouse U S  
1100 E MAIN S  
Suite 501  
Richmond, VA 2  
United States

Special Deposit Trust Res