

Isiah Leggett County Executive

Cherri Branson Director

MONTGOMERY COUNTY MARYLAND **SOLICITATION ADDENDUM #1** RFP # 1074421 03/27/2017

FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF: Demolition of F		ities
ALL SOLICITATION ADDENDUMS MUST BE A HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED IN THE SOLICIT PROPOSALS. FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE PROPOSAL.	TATION (AS AMENDED) FOR RECEIPT OF
DESCRIPTION OF ADDENDUM:		
Clarifications-Method of Award/Evaluation	n Criteria.	
THERE ARE NO OTHER CHANGES.		
THE SOLICITATION PROVISION ENTITLED "S THIS ADDENDUM. THE CHANGES SET FOR THE ABOVE-CITED SOLICITATION. THE HOU PROPOSALS IS NOT EXTENDED.	TH BELOW ARE HERE	BY INCORPORATED INTO
	ISSUED BY: Cherri Branson, Direction Department of General	ector
NAME OF OFFEROR:	·	
(Type or print)		
NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN PROPOSAL:		•
(Type or Print)	(1 of 3)	(collins)

Office of Procurement

255 Rockville Pike, Suite 180 • Rockville, Maryland 20850 • 240-777-9900 • 240-777-9956 TTY • 240-777-9952 FAX

www.montgomerycountymd.gov

RFP No. 1074421 Demolition of Parks Maintenance Facilities Addendum No. 1

DESCRIPTION OF ADDENDUM

I. CLARIFICATIONS

Item No. 1 SECTION D – METHOD OF AWARD/EVALUATION CRITERIA, Section 1.8

Section 1.8 is deleted in its entirety and replaced with:

1.8	Knowledge of or	Demonstrated	60	Demonstrated	60
	Experience Working in	knowledge of or		knowledge of or	
	Montgomery County:	experience with		experience with	}
	Provide Offeror's	Montgomery County		Montgomery County	
	knowledge of or	utility and regulatory		utility and regulatory	
	experience with	agencies as required to		agencies as required to	
	Montgomery County utility	perform the work.		perform the work.	
1	and regulatory agencies as		<u> </u>		
	required to perform the				'
	work.				<u> </u>

Item No. 2 SECTION D – METHOD OF AWARD/EVALUATION CRITERIA, SECTION 1.9

Section 1.9, Experience Working for Montgomery County, is deleted in its entirety.

Item No. 3 SECTION D – METHOD OF AWARD/EVALUATION CRITERIA, SECTION 1.10

Section 1.10, MFD Participation, is renumbered and is now Section 1.9. No other changes have been made.

(2 of 3)

Item No. 4 SECTION D – METHOD OF AWARD/EVALUATION CRITERIA, SECTION 2.1

Section 2.1, General Contractor Similar Projects, is deleted in its entirety and replaced with:

2.1	Similar Projects: Using the Similar Project Information Form (Attachment H), provide information for three (3) projects completed by the Offeror that demonstrate the Offeror's ability to construct a project of similar scope, complexity and quality with a contract value of \$1 million or greater. The projects must have been completed in the past five (5) years and must be located within 200 miles of Rockville, MD.	The quality of the five (5) projects and their similarity to the proposed Contract work will be evaluated. If the Offeror has completed any construction for the Montgomery County Government in the past five (5) years the list must include all such projects, including completion date and construction costs. Do not include any MCPS or Montgomery College	80	The presentation and quality of projects and their similarity to this Project will be evaluated.	80
	the past five (5) years and must be located within 200	construction costs. Do not include any MCPS			

(3 of 3)